EDSCOPL KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) 1 United States Attorney 2 EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division 3 CANDACE KELLY (CSBN 191473) 4 Assistant United States Attorney 5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 6 Telephone: (415) 436-6962 FAX: (415) 436-7234 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 SAN JOSE DIVISION 12 No. CR 05-00589-JF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 13 Plaintiff, 14 RS STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] 15 ٧. ORDER TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE AND EXCLUDE TIME UNDER THE 16 ALEXANDER DZHUGA, SPEEDY TRIAL ACT FROM a/k/a Aleksandr Dhuga, SEPTEMBER 22, 2005 TO NOVEMBER 2, 17 a/k/a Aleksandr Dzhuga, 2005 LEONID DZHUGA, 18 VLADIMIR A. SEMENOV, NATALIA IGOREVNA STADNIK, 19 a/k/a Natalia Stadnik Dzhuga, and ARMOND TENNYSON TOLLETTE II, 20 a/k/a Armond T. Tollette, Jr., 21 Defendants. 22 23 24 **STIPULATION** 1. On September 22, 2005, the parties in the above-captioned case appeared before the 25 Court for their initial appearance in the Northern District of California before the Honorable 26 Magistrate Judge Seeborg. The parties requested a continuance of the case until November 2, 27 28 ORDER CR 05-00589-JF

1	2005 to allow: (1) the government to obtain a protective order for the discovery materials that	
2	may be subject to the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552a, to 45 C.F.R. §§ 164.102-164.534, or to 42	
3	U.S.C. § 1306, or other privacy protections; (2) the government to produce discovery to the	
4	defendant (upon receipt of a Rule 16 discovery request); and (3) to allow defense counsel	
5	adequate time to review discovery and prepare for trial. The parties agreed upon November 2,	
6	2005 as the next date in part due to the unavailability of government counsel from September 24,	
7	2005 through October 10, 2005. Additionally, the attorneys for defendants Tollette, Stadnik and	
8	Leo Dzhuga made special appearances, so additional time is necessary to allow these defendants	
9	to obtain counsel.	
10	2. All counsel stipulated and agreed that time should be excluded from September 22, 2005	
11	through and including November 2, 2005 from the Speedy Trial Act under 18 U.S.C. §§	
12	3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv) in order to allow the defendants to obtain counsel as well as a	
13	reasonable amount of time for the defense to effectively prepare for trial, taking into account the	
14	exercise of due diligence.	
15	SO STIPULATED.	
16	DATED: 9/27/05	/s/
17	DATED. 9/2//03	MARK J. WERKSMAN Attorney for Defendant A. Dzhuga
18	DATED: 11/2/05	/s/
19	DATED: 11/2/03	DMITRY Y. GUROVICH/ ELON BERK Attorney for Defendants
20		L. Dzhuga and Natalia Stadnik
21	DATED:	/s/
22	DATED.	MARK HARDIMAN Attorney for Defendant V. Semenov
23		
24	DATED: 10/24/05	CLIFFORD ROBINSON
25		Attorney for Defendant Tollette
26	DATED: 11/2/05	/s/
27		CANDACE KELLY Assistant United States Attorney
28		-
	ORDER CR 05-00589-JF	

ORDER

The Court finds that there is good cause for the extension of time described above, and that the ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and of the defendants in a speedy trial and the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The Court further finds that failure to grant the continuance would deny the defendants reasonable time to obtain counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence and continuity of counsel under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court continues the matter to November 2, 2005 for a status hearing before the Honorable Judge Fogel and orders that the period from September 22, 2005 through and including November 2, 2005 be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 11/15/05

ŘÍCHARD SEĚBOŘG UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

ORDER CR 05-00589-JF